Duplication and Adaptive Evolution of the Chalcone Synthase Genes of Dendranthema (Asteraceae)

Ji Yang,* Jinxia Huang,* Hongya Gu,* Yang Zhong,† and Ziheng Yang‡

*College of Life Sciences, Peking University, Beijing; †College of Life Sciences, Fudan University, Shanghai; and ‡Department of Biology, University College London

Chalcone synthase (CHS) is a key enzyme in the biosynthesis of flavonoids, which are important for the pigmentation of flowers and act as attractants to the pollinators. Genes encoding CHS constitute a multigene family in which the copy number varies among plant species and functional divergence appears to have occurred repeatedly. Plants of the Dendranthema genus have white, yellow, and pink flowers, exhibiting considerable variation in flower color. In this article, 18 CHS genes from six Dendranthema species were sequenced. Two of them were found to be pseudogenes. The functional Dendranthema CHS genes formed three well-supported subfamilies: SF1, SF2, and SF3. The inferred phylogeny of the CHS genes of Dendranthema and Gerbera suggests that those genes originated as a result of duplications before divergence of these two genera, and the function of Dendranthema CHS genes have diverged in a similar fashion to the Gerbera CHS genes; i.e., the genes of SF1 and SF3 code for typical CHS enzymes expressed during different stages of development, whereas the genes of SF2 code for another enzyme that is different from CHS in substrate specificity and reaction. Relative rate tests revealed that the Dendranthema CHS genes significantly deviated from clocklike evolution at nonsynonymous sites. Maximum likelihood analysis showed that the nonsynonymous-synonymous ($\omega = d_N/d_S$) rate ratio for the lineage ancestral to SF2 was much higher than for other lineages, with some sites having a ratio well above one. Positive selective pressure appears to have driven the divergence of SF2 from SF1 and SF3.

Introduction

Chalcone synthase (CHS) is a key enzyme in the biosynthesis of flavonoids. CHS catalyzes the condensation of three acetate residues from malonyl-CoA with *p*-coumaroyl-CoA to form naringenin chalcone. This is the initial step of the phenylpropanoid pathway that leads to the production of flavonoids. Flavonoids have many functions in plants. They are important for the pigmentation of flowers and, hence, act as attractants to the pollinators. Flavonoids also play an important role in protection against UV light and in defense against pathogens and insects (Koes, Quattrocchio, and Mol 1994). Therefore the products of this secondary metabolic pathway enable the plant to adapt more efficiently to a stressful environment.

Most of the enzymatic components of plant secondary metabolism are encoded by small families of genes that originated through gene duplications (Durbin, McCaig, and Clegg 2000). CHS is encoded by a multigene family in many plants, such as Petunia (Koes et al. 1989), Ipomoea (Durbin et al. 1995), Gerbera (Heleriutta et al. 1995, 1996), and leguminous plants (Ryder et al. 1987; Wingerder et al. 1989; Ito et al. 1997). Durbin, McCaig, and Clegg (2000) reviewed CHS evolution in flowering plants with special reference to the genus Ipomoea. They pointed out that new CHS genes are recruited recurrently in flowering plants, and the rate of nucleotide substitution was frequently accelerated in new duplicate genes. There is growing evidence for repeated divergence in the CHS gene family (Tropf et al. 1994; Helariutta et al. 1996). One example is the func-

Key words: duplication, adaptive evolution, chalcone synthase, gene family, Dendranthema.

Address for correspondence and reprints: Ziheng Yang, Department of Biology, University College London, Darwin Building, Gower Street, London WC1E 6BT, U.K. E-mail: z.yang@ucl.ac.uk.

Mol. Biol. Evol. 19(10):1752-1759. 2002

 $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ 2002 by the Society for Molecular Biology and Evolution. ISSN: 0737-4038

tional shift from CHS to stilbene synthase (SS), which appears to have occurred repeatedly in plants (Tropf et al. 1994). SSs are similar to CHS in that they are polyketide synthases, but the final products of the synthetic pathway in which SSs are involved are stilbene phytoalexins rather than flavonoids (Tropf et al. 1995). The SSs are thought to have evolved independently from CHS several times over the course of plant evolution (Tropf et al. 1994), and only a small number of amino acid changes are necessary to convert CHS to SS function (Tropf et al. 1995). A second example of functional divergence of the CHS gene is found in Gerbera hybrida (Asteraceae). Helariutta et al. (1995) analyzed the enzymatic properties of CHS-like genes and showed that their properties are distinct from both CHS and SS. Substrate testing showed that the novel CHS gene was unable to use 4-coumaroyl-CoA as a substrate but was able to use benzoyl-CoA. A novel product is produced by the enzymatic reaction, although the role of this product in plants is unknown.

Comparison of CHS gene sequences from different species revealed that the CHS gene is structurally conserved, and most of the CHS genes contain two exons and one intron. The position of the intron is conserved. Exon 1 usually encodes 37–64 amino acid residues and exon 2 encodes about 340 residues. The latter is more conserved than the former in length and encodes almost all the active sites. The length of the intron varies significantly in different species, ranging from less than 100 bp to several kilobases.

Plants of Dendranthema exhibit considerable variation in flower color. Some of them are famous ornamental plants, e.g., florists dendranthema (formerly known as florists chrysanthemum). The diversity in flower color in Ipomoea is almost certainly due to differences in either the structure or the regulatory genes of the flavonoid biosynthetic pathway (Durbin et al. 1995). In chrysanthemum cultivar Moneymaker, flower color was altered by modifications to the CHS gene (Courtney-Gutterson et al. 1994). It is thus interesting to examine whether the diversity in flower color correlates with the diversity of CHS gene in Dendranthema. In this article the Dendranthema CHS genes were cloned and sequenced from six species, four of which (*D. indicum*, *D. indicum* var. *aromaticum*, *D. lavandulifoium*, and *D. nankingense*) have yellow flowers, whereas *D. chanetii* has pink flowers and *D. vestitum* has white flowers. By performing phylogenetic and evolutionary analyses, we hope to characterize the organization and evolution of the CHS gene family in Dendranthema.

Gene duplication is considered to be a major mechanism for evolutionary innovations and functional divergence (Ohno 1970; Ohta 1993; Force et al. 1999). There has been considerable debate as to whether rapid evolution in gene families is caused by positive Darwinian selection after gene duplication (Ohta 1993) or by relaxation of the functional constraints in redundant genes (Kimura 1983, pp. 104-113). The CHS gene family provides an interesting case for testing those hypotheses. To examine the differences of selective pressures among evolutionary lineages, maximum likelihood (ML) models of codon substitution were used to analyze the functional sequences in the Dendranthema CHS gene family (Yang 1998; Yang and Nielsen 1998). These models used the nonsynonymous-synonymous rate ratio $(\omega = d_N/d_S)$ as an indicator of selective pressure on the protein. An ω greater than 1 means that nonsynonymous mutations were fixed at a higher rate than synonymous mutations and that protein evolution is driven by positive selection. Our analysis provides evidence for positive selection driving functional divergence after gene duplication in the Dendranthema CHS gene family.

Materials and Methods

Plant materials used in this study are shown in table 1. Silica-dried leaves were collected for DNA isolation. PCR amplifications were performed using two primers 5'CCK TCH YTG GAY GCN MGR CAR GAC3' and 5'GG BCC RAA NCC RAA NAR MAC ACC3', as designed by Wang et al. (2000). Ambiguous nucleotides are according to the definition of Nomenclature Committee of the International Union of Biochemistry (NC-IUB 1985). The two primers correspond to the conserved regions of CHS, PSLDAROD, and RVLFGFGP and span nearly the entire coding region of exon 2. The PCR products were separated by electrophoresis, and the CHS gene fragment, about 860 bp in length, was purified from the agarose gel and cloned into a pBluescript Stratagene Chemistry vector and then sequenced using a 373A automatic DNA sequencer (Applied Biosystems, Inc). Twenty-four clones were randomly chosen and sequenced from each of the six species of Dendranthema. The clones obtained were denoted by the abbreviation of their source species names (the first letter of generic name and the first letter of specific epithet) and the clone number. For example, DICHS3 is clone number 3 of the CHS gene from D. indicum. Additional CHS sequences

Table 1			
Sources	of	CHS	Sequences

TaxonSequenceAccession No.AsteraceaeDendranthema indicumDICHS3AF511460°Dendranthema indicumDICHS3AF511460°DICHS24AF511460°DICHS39AF511462°D. indicum var. aromaticum.DIACHS15AF511463°D. lavandulifoiumDIACHS15AF511466°D. lavandulifoiumDIACHS15AF511466°D. lavandulifoiumDICHS31AF511466°D. nankingense.DNCHS16AF511470°D. chanetiiDCCHS31AF511471°D. chanetiiDCCHS6AF511471°D. chanetiiDCCHS31AF511471°D. chanetiiDCCHS34AF511471°D. chanetiiDCCHS34AF511471°DVCHS34AF511471°DVCHS34AF511473°DVCHS34AF511471°Gerbera hybridaGHCHS1Z38096GerCHSGerbera sp.GSPCHSSolanaceaeSolanaceaeSolanaceaeSolanaceaeSolanaceaeNicotiana tabacumAF311783Petunia × hybridaPHCHSBX14591PHCHSBYHCHSDX14593PHCHSDX14593PHCHSDX14593PHCHSDX14593PHCHSDX14593PHCHSDX14593PHCHSDX14593PHCHSDX14593PHCHSDX14593PHCHSDX14593PHCHSDX14593PHCHSDX14593PHCHSDX14593PHC			GenBank
Asteraceae $Dendranthema indicum$ DICHS3AF511459° $DiCHS4$ AF511460°DICHS24AF511460° $DiCHS39$ AF511462°DICHS39AF511463° $D.$ indicum var. aromaticumDIACHS15AF511463° $D.$ lavandulifoiumDIACHS15AF511464° $DLCHS30$ DICHS31AF511466° $D.$ chanetiiDICHS11AF511467° $D.$ nankingenseDNCHS16AF511470° $D.$ chanetiiDCCHS1AF511471° $D.$ chanetiiDCCHS1AF511471° $D.$ chanetiiDCCHS1AF511471° $D.$ chanetiiCCHS1AF511471° $D.$ chanetiiCCHS1AF511471° $D.$ chanetiiCCHS1AF511471° $D.$ chanetiiCCHS1Z38097Gerbera hybridaGHCHS1Z38098Gerbera sp.GSPCHSX91339Callistephus chinensisCCCHSMRZ67988SolanaceaeSTU47738U47738SolanaceaeSTU47740U47740Lycopersicon esculentumLETCHS1X5194LeTCHS2X5195Nicotiana tabacumAF311783Petunia \times hybridaPHCHSAX14591PHCHSDX14593PHCHSJInilBU15946IpurEAB001826IpurEAB001826IpurEAB001826IpurEAB001826IpurEAB001826IpurEAB001818InilBU15944InilDAB001818InilEAB008748	Taxon	Sequence	Accession No.
AsteraceaeDendranthema indicumDICHS3 $AF511459^{a}$ DICHS24 $AF511461^{a}$ DICHS24 $AF511461^{a}$ DICHS24 $AF511462^{a}$ DICHS39 $AF511462^{a}$ D. indicum var. aromaticumDIACHS15 $AF511463^{a}$ D. lavandulifoiumDIACHS15 $AF511465^{a}$ D. lavandulifoiumDLCHS1 $AF511465^{a}$ D. nankingenseDICHS31 $AF511467^{a}$ D. nankingenseDNCHS16 $AF511470^{a}$ D. chanetiiDCCHS1 $AF511477^{a}$ D. chanetiiDCCHS1 $AF511477^{a}$ D. vestitumDVCHS34 $AF511477^{a}$ DVCHS34 $AF511477^{a}$ DVCHS34Gerbera hybridaGHCHS1Z38096Gerbera sp.GSPCHSX91339Callistephus chinensisCCCHSMRZ67988SolanaceaeSOlanaceaeSolanum tuberosumSTU47738U47739SolanaceaeSTU47739U47740Lycopersicon esculentumAF311783Petunia X hybridaPHCHSAX14591PHCHSDX14593PHCHSDX14591PHCHSDX14591PHCHSDX14591IpurBU15946IpurEAB001826IpurEAB001827InilAU15943InilAU15943InilAU15943InilAU15943InilAU15943InilAU15943IpurEAB001817IpurEAB008748Perilla frutescensPFAB2815 </td <td></td> <td>~</td> <td></td>		~	
Dendranthema indicumDICHS3AF511460° DICHS24DICHS4AF511460° DICHS24AF511461° DICHS39D. indicum var. aromaticumDIACHS15AF511463° DLCHS1D. lavandulifoiumDLCHS1AF511464° DLCHS8D. lavandulifoiumDLCHS1AF511466° DLCHS31D. nankingenseDNCHS16AF511466° DNCHS16D. nankingenseDNCHS16AF511471° DCCHS1D. chanetiiDCCHS1AF511471° DCCHS6D. chanetiiDCCHS1AF511471° DCCHS4D. chanetiiDCCHS1Z38096 GHCHS2Gerbera hybridaGHCHS1Z38096 GHCHS2Gerbera sp.GSPCHSX91339 Callistephus chinensisCallistephus chinensisCCCHSMRZ67988SolanaceaeSOlanaceaeSolanum tuberosumSTU47738 STU47739U47739 U47739 U47739Nicotiana tabacumAF311783 PHCHSDX14591 PHCHSDPhCHSDX14591 PHCHSDX14592 PHCHSDPHCHSDX14591 PHCHSDX14592 PHCHSDIpomoea nilInilD InilA InilB InilBU15946 IpurEIpureD AB001826 IpurEAB0018127 IpurD AB0018127Ipomoea nilAF035622 AB008748 AB008748 AB008748 AB008748 AB008748 AB008748 AB008748 AB008748 AB008748 AB008748 AB002815 PFAB2815 AB002815 PFAB2826 AB002815	Asteraceae		
$\begin{tabular}{l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l $	Dendranthema indicum	DICHS3	AF511459 ^a
$\begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$		DICHS4	AF511460 ^a
DiCHS39 AF511462* DiCHS15 AF511463* DiCHS15 AF511464* DiCCHS1 AF511464* DiCCHS1 AF511464* DiCHS1 AF511465* DiCHS11 AF511465* DiCHS11 AF511467* DiCHS11 AF511467* DicHS11 AF511470* DicHS11 AF511470* DicHS11 AF511470* DicCHS1 AF511471* DicCHS1 AF511471* DicCHS1 AF511471* DicCHS1 AF511471* DicCHS1 AF511474* Gerbera hybrida CCCHS1 AF511473* DicCHS1 Z38096 GHCHS2 Z38097 GHCHS1 Z38098 Gerbera spGSPCHS X91339 CCCHSMR Z67988 Solanaceae Solanum tuberosum STU47738 U47738 STU47739 U47739 U47739 STU47740 U47740 U47740 U47740 U47740 U47739 STU47740 U47739 STU47739 U47739 Vertices Sinden AF311783 AF311783		DICHS24	AF511461 ^a
$ \begin{array}{cccccc} D. indicum var. aromaticum. DIACHS15 AF511463* DLCHS1 AF511464* DLCHS8 AF511465* DLCHS1 AF511466* DLCHS14 AF511466* DLCHS11 AF511466* DLCHS11 AF511467* D. nankingense. DNCHS16 AF511470* DNCHS16 AF511470* DNCHS17 AF511468* DNCHS18 AF511471* DCCHS6 AF511471* DCCHS6 AF511472* D. vestitum DVCHS43 AF511473* DVCHS43 AF511473* DVCHS43 AF511474* Gerbera hybrida GHCHS1 Z38097 GHCHS2 Z38097 GHCHS2 Z38097 GHCHS2 Z38097 GHCHS2 Z38097 GHCHS2 Z38098 Solanaceae Solanum tuberosum STU47738 U47738 STU47740 U47740 Lycopersicon esculentum. LETCHS1 X55194 LETCHS2 X55195 Nicotiana tabacum. AF311783 AF311783 Petunia \times hybrida. PHCHSA X14591 PHCHSB X14592 PHCHSD X14593 PHCHSJ X14597 PHCHSD X14593 PHCHSJ X14597 PHCHSD X14593 PHCHSJ X14597 IpurB U15946 IpurB U15947 IpurC U15949 IpurD AB0018267 Ipomoea nil InilA U15943 InilB U15944 InilD AB001812 InilE AB001819 Lamiaceae Scutellaria baicalensis. AF035622 AF035622 AB002582 Scrophulariaceae Amtirrhinum majus. AMCHS X03710 Digitalis lanata DLAZ2526 AJ002526 \\ \end{array}$		DICHS39	AF511462 ^a
$ \begin{array}{ccccc} D. \ lavandulifoium \dots DLCHS1 & AF511464^{a} \\ DLCHS8 & AF511465^{a} \\ DLCHS14 & AF511465^{a} \\ DLCHS31 & AF511466^{a} \\ DLCHS31 & AF511467^{a} \\ D. \ nankingense \dots DNCHS16 & AF511470^{a} \\ DNCHS17 & AF511470^{a} \\ DNCHS18 & AF511471^{a} \\ DCCHS6 & AF511471^{a} \\ DCCHS6 & AF511472^{a} \\ DVCHS34 & AF511473^{a} \\ DVCHS34 & AF511473^{a} \\ DVCHS34 & AF511474^{a} \\ Gerbera hybrida \dots DVCHS3 & AF511474^{a} \\ Gerbera sp. & GSPCHS & X91339 \\ Callistephus chinensis \dots CCCHSMR & Z67988 \\ Solanaceae \\ Solanum tuberosum \dots STU47738 & U47738 \\ STU47740 & U47740 \\ Lycopersicon esculentum \dots LETCHS1 & X55194 \\ LETCHS2 & X55195 \\ Nicotiana tabacum AF311783 & AF311783 \\ Petunia \times hybrida \dots PHCHSA & X14591 \\ PHCHSB & X14592 \\ PHCHSD & X14593 \\ PHCHSJ & X14597 \\ PHCHSD & X14593 \\ PHCHSJ & X14597 \\ PHCHSD & X14593 \\ PHCHSJ & X14597 \\ IpurC & U15946 \\ IpurB & U15947 \\ IpurC & U15949 \\ IpurD & AB001827 \\ Ipomoea nil \dots InilD & AB0018127 \\ Ipomoea nil \dots InilD & AB001818 \\ InilE & AB001818 \\ InilE & AB001815 \\ InilE & AB001815 \\ Sctellaria baicalensis \dots AF035622 & AF035622 \\ AB008748 & AB008748 \\ Perilla frutescens \dots PFAB2815 & AB002815 \\ PFAB2582 & AB002582 \\ Scrophulariaceae \\ Antirrhinum majus \dots AMCHS & X03710 \\ Digitalis lanata \dots DLAJ2526 & AJ002526 \\ \end{array}$	D. indicum var. aromaticum	DIACHS15	AF511463 ^a
$\begin{array}{c} DLCHS8 & AF511465^{a} \\ DLCHS14 & AF511466^{a} \\ DLCHS31 & AF511467^{a} \\ DNCHS16 & AF511467^{a} \\ DNCHS17 & AF511469^{a} \\ DNCHS18 & AF511470^{a} \\ DNCHS18 & AF511470^{a} \\ DCCHS1 & AF511471^{a} \\ DCCHS1 & AF511471^{a} \\ DCCHS2 & AF511471^{a} \\ DVCHS33 & AF511471^{a} \\ Gerbera hybrida & DVCHS34 & AF511473^{a} \\ GHCHS1 & Z38096 \\ GHCHS2 & Z38097 \\ GHCHS3 & Z38098 \\ Gerbera sp GSPCHS & X91339 \\ Callistephus chinensis & CCCHSMR Z67988 \\ Solanaceae \\ Solanum tuberosum & STU47738 & U47738 \\ STU47739 & U47739 & U47739 \\ Lycopersicon esculentum & LETCHS1 & X55194 \\ LETCHS2 & X55195 \\ Nicotiana tabacum & AF311783 & AF311783 \\ Petunia \times hybrida & PHCHSA & X14591 \\ PHCHSB & X14592 \\ PHCHSD & X14593 \\ PHCHSD & X14594 \\ IpurB & U15946 \\ IpurB & U15947 \\ IpurC & U15949 \\ IpurD & AB001826 \\ Ipure & AB001827 \\ Ipomoea nil & InilA & U15944 \\ InilD & AB001826 \\ Ipure & AB001818 \\ InilE & AB001815 \\ PFAB2152 & AB002582 \\ Scrophulariaceae \\ \\ Scutellaria baicalensis & AMCHS & X03710 \\ Digitalis lanata & DLAJ2526 & AJ002526 \\ \end{array}$	D. lavandulifoium	DLCHS1	AF511464 ^a
$\begin{array}{c} DLCHS14 & AF511466^a \\ DLCHS31 & AF511467^a \\ DLCHS31 & AF511468^a \\ DNCHS16 & AF511468^a \\ DNCHS17 & AF511468^a \\ DNCHS18 & AF511470^a \\ D. chanetii \dots DCHS1 & AF511471^a \\ DCCHS6 & AF511472^a \\ D. vestitum \dots DVCHS34 & AF511472^a \\ D. vestitum \dots DVCHS34 & AF511474^a \\ Gerbera hybrida \dots GHCHS1 & Z38096 \\ GHCHS2 & Z38097 \\ GHCHS3 & Z38098 \\ Gerbera sp. & GSPCHS & X91339 \\ Callistephus chinensis \dots CCCHSMR & Z67988 \\ Solanaceae \\ Solanum tuberosum & STU47738 & U47738 \\ STU47739 & U47739 & U47739 \\ STU47740 & U47740 \\ Lycopersicon esculentum & LETCHS1 & X55194 \\ LeTCHS1 & X55195 \\ Nicotiana tabacum & AF311783 & AF311783 \\ Petunia \times hybrida & PHCHSA & X14591 \\ PHCHSB & X14591 \\ PHCHSB & X14592 \\ PHCHSD & X14593 \\ PHCHSJ & X14597 \\ PHCHSJ &$		DLCHS8	AF511465 ^a
$\begin{array}{c} \text{DLCHS31} & \text{AF511467^a} \\ D. nankingense & \text{DNCHS16} & \text{AF511468^a} \\ \text{DNCHS17} & \text{AF511470^a} \\ D. chanetii & \text{DCCHS1} & \text{AF511471^a} \\ \hline D. chanetii & \text{DCCHS1} & \text{AF511471^a} \\ D. vestitum & \text{DVCHS34} & \text{AF511473^a} \\ D. vestitum & \text{DVCHS34} & \text{AF511473^a} \\ D. vestitum & \text{DVCHS34} & \text{AF511473^a} \\ D. vestitum & \text{OVCHS34} & \text{AF511473^a} \\ D. vestitum & \text{OVCHS34} & \text{AF511473^a} \\ D. vestitum & \text{OVCHS34} & \text{AF511474^a} \\ \hline Gerbera hybrida & \text{GHCHS1} & \text{Z38096} \\ GHCHS2 & \text{Z38097} \\ GHCHS2 & \text{Z38097} \\ GHCHS3 & \text{Z38098} \\ \hline Gerbera sp & \text{GSPCHS} & \text{X91339} \\ \hline Callistephus chinensis & \text{CCCHSMR} & \text{Z67988} \\ \hline Solanaceae & & \\ \hline Solanaceae & & \\ \hline Solanaceae & & \\ \hline Solanaum tuberosum & \text{STU47738} & \text{U47739} \\ \text{Lycopersicon esculentum} & \text{LETCHS1} & \text{X55194} \\ \text{LeTCHS2} & \text{X55194} \\ \text{LeTCHS2} & \text{X55194} \\ \text{LeTCHS2} & \text{X55195} \\ \hline Nicotiana tabacum & \text{AF311783} & \text{AF311783} \\ Petunia \times hybrida & PHCHSA & X14591 \\ PHCHSB & X14592 \\ PHCHSD & X14592 \\ PHCHSD & X14593 \\ PHCHSJ & X14597 \\ PHCHSR & X04080 \\ \hline Convolvulaceae \\ \hline Ipomoea nil & IpurA & U15946 \\ IpurE & AB001826 \\ IpurE & AB001826 \\ IpurE & AB001826 \\ IpurE & AB001826 \\ IpurE & AB001827 \\ InilB & U15943 \\ InilB & U15943 \\ InilB & U15944 \\ InilD & AB001818 \\ InilE & AB001819 \\ \hline Lamiaceae \\ \hline Scutellaria baicalensis & AF035622 & AF035622 \\ AB008748 & AB008748 \\ AB008748 & AB002582 \\ \hline Scrophulariaceae \\ \hline Scrophulariaceae \\ \hline Scutellaria baicalensis & AF035622 & AF035622 \\ AB002582 \\ \hline Scrophularias caae \\ \hline Antirrhinum majus & AMCHS & X03710 \\ Digitalis lanata & DLAJ2526 & AJ002526 \\ \hline \end{array}$		DLCHS14	AF511466 ^a
$ \begin{array}{c} D. \ nankingense. \ DNCHS16 \ AF511468^a \\ DNCHS17 \ AF511470^a \\ DNCHS18 \ AF511470^a \\ DNCHS18 \ AF511471^a \\ DCCHS6 \ AF511471^a \\ DCCHS6 \ AF511472^a \\ DVCHS34 \ AF511473^a \\ DVCHS34 \ AF511474^a \\ Gerbera \ hybrida \ GHCHS1 \ Z38096 \\ GHCHS2 \ Z38097 \\ GHCHS3 \ Z38098 \\ Gerbera \ sp. \ GSPCHS \ X91339 \\ Callistephus \ chinensis \ CCCHSMR \ Z67988 \\ \hline Solanaceae \\ Solanum tuberosum \ STU47738 \ U47738 \\ STU47739 \ U47739 \\ STU47740 \ U47740 \\ Lycopersicon \ esculentum \ LETCHS1 \ X55194 \\ LETCHS2 \ X55194 \\ LETCHS2 \ X55195 \\ Nicotiana \ tabacum \ AF311783 \ AF311783 \\ Petunia \ hybrida \ PHCHSA \ X14591 \\ PHCHSB \ X14593 \\ PHCHSD \ X14593 \\ IpurD \ AB001826 \\ IpurB \ U15947 \\ IpurD \ AB001826 \\ IpurE \ AB001817 \\ InilB \ U15944 \\ InilD \ AB001818 \\ InilE \ AB001818 \\ InilE \ AB001818 \\ InilE \ AB001818 \\ InilE \ AB008748 \ AB008748 \\ Perilla \ frutescens \ AF315 \ AB002815 \\ PFAB2815 \ AB002815 \\ PFAB2815 \ AB002815 \\ PFAB2815 \ AB002815 \\ PFAB2815 \ AB002826 \\ Solanum \ adjus \ AMCHS \ X03710 \\ Digitalis \ lanata \ DLAJ2526 \ AJ002526 \\ \end{array}$		DLCHS31	AF511467 ^a
$\begin{array}{c cccc} DNCHS17 & AF511469^a \\ DNCHS18 & AF511471^a \\ DCCHS1 & AF511471^a \\ DCCHS2 & AF511471^a \\ DCCHS3 & AF511472^a \\ D. vestitum DVCHS34 & AF511473^a \\ DVCHS34 & AF511474^a \\ Gerbera hybrida GHCHS1 & Z38096 \\ GHCHS2 & Z38097 \\ GHCHS3 & Z38098 \\ Gerbera sp & GSPCHS & X91339 \\ Callistephus chinensis CCCHSMR & Z67988 \\ \hline Solanaceae \\ Solanaceae \\ Solanum tuberosum STU47738 & U47738 \\ STU47739 & U47739 \\ STU47740 & U47740 \\ Lycopersicon esculentum LETCHS1 & X55194 \\ LETCHS2 & X55195 \\ Nicotiana tabacum AF311783 & AF311783 \\ Petunia \times hybrida PHCHSA & X14591 \\ PHCHSB & X14592 \\ PHCHSD & X14593 \\ PHCHSI & X14591 \\ PHCHSR & X04080 \\ \hline Convolvulaceae \\ Ipomoea nil Inila & U15946 \\ IpurE & AB001827 \\ Ipomoea nil Inila & U15943 \\ InilB & U15944 \\ InilD & AB001818 \\ InilE & AB001819 \\ \hline Lamiaceae \\ Scutellaria baicalensis AF035622 & AF035622 \\ AB008748 & AB008748 \\ Perilla frutescens AF035622 & AF035622 \\ AB008748 & AB002815 \\ PFAB2815 & AB002815 \\ PFAB2815 & AB002815 \\ Scrophulariaceae \\ \\ Scrophulariaceae \\ Antirrhinum majus AMCHS & X03710 \\ Digitalis lanata DLA2526 & AJ002526 \\ \hline \end{array}$	D. nankingense	DNCHS16	AF511468 ^a
$\begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$		DNCHS17	AF511469 ^a
D. chanetiiDCCHS1AF511471aDC vestitumDVCHS34AF511472aD. vestitumDVCHS34AF511473aDVCHS43AF511474aGerbera hybridaGHCHS1Z38096GHCHS2Z38097GHCHS3Z38098Gerbera sp.GSPCHSX2010X201738Callistephus chinensisCCCHSMRZ67988SolanaceaeSolanaceaeSolanum tuberosumSTU47738U47739U47739STU47740U47740Lycopersicon esculentumLETCHS1X55195Nicotiana tabacumAF311783AF311783Petunia × hybridaPHCHSAX14591PHCHSBYHCHSDX14593PHCHSJX14597PHCHSDX14593PHCHSJX14597PHCHSRX04080ConvolvulaceaeIpurBIpomoea nilInilAU15949IpurCUpurEAB001826IpurEAB001827InilAU15943InilBU15944InilDAB001818InilEAB001819LamiaceaeScutellaria baicalensisScutellaria baicalensisAF035622AB008748AB008748Perilla frutescensPFAB2815AB002815PFAB2815AB002815PFAB2582AB002582ScrophulariaceaeAntirrhinum majusAMCHSX03710Digitalis lanataDLAJ2526AJ002526		DNCHS18	AF511470 ^a
$\begin{array}{c} \begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	D. chanetii	DCCHS1	AF511471 ^a
D. vestitum		DCCHS6	AF511472 ^a
$\begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	D. vestitum	DVCHS34	AF511473 ^a
Gerbera hybridaGHCHS1Z38096 GHCHS2 $GHCHS2$ Z38097 GHCHS3Z38098 $Gerbera$ spGSPCHSX91339 Callistephus chinensisCCCHSMR $CCCHSMR$ Z67988SolanaceaeSTU47738U47738 STU47739 $Solanum$ tuberosumSTU47739U47739 U47739 $Lycopersicon$ esculentumLETCHS1X55194 LETCHS2 $Lycopersicon$ esculentumLETCHS1X55194 LETCHS2 $Nicotiana$ tabacumAF311783AF311783 PHCHSB $Petunia \times hybrida$ PHCHSBX14591 PHCHSD $PHCHSD$ X14593 PHCHSJX14593 PHCHSJ $PHCHSD$ X14597 PHCHSRX04080ConvolvulaceaeIpurB IpurDU15946 IpurE AB001826 IpurE $Ipomoea$ nilInilA InilB InilB U15943 InilBU15943 InilB InilD AB001818 InilD AB001819LamiaceaeScutellaria baicalensisAF035622 AB008748 AB008748 AB008748 AB002815 PFAB2815 AB002815 PFAB282 AB002582ScrophulariaceaeMCHS A002526X03710 DLAJ2526		DVCHS43	AF511474 ^a
	Gerbera hybrida	GHCHS1	Z38096
GHCHS3 Z38098 $Gerbera spGSPCHS X91339$ $Callistephus chinensisCCCHSMR Z67988$ Solanaceae $Solanum tuberosumSTU47738 U47738$ $STU47739 U47739 U47739$ $STU47740 U47740$ $Lycopersicon esculentumLETCHS1 X55194$ $LETCHS1 X55194$ $LETCHS1 X55195$ Nicotiana tabacumAF311783 AF311783 Petunia × hybridaPHCHSA X14591 PHCHSB X14592 PHCHSD X14593 PHCHSJ X14597 PHCHSR X04080 Convolvulaceae $Ipomoea purpureaIpurA U15946$ $IpurB U15947 IpurC U15949 IpurD AB001826 IpurE AB001827 InilA U15943 InilB U15944 InilD AB001818 InilE AB001819 Lamiaceae Scutellaria baicalensisAF035622 AF035622 AB008748 AB008748 Perilla frutescensPFAB2815 AB002815 PFAB2582 AB002582 Scrophulariaceae Antirrhinum majusAMCHS X03710 Digitalis lanataDLAJ2526 AJ002526 $		GHCHS2	Z38097
Gerbera sp.GSPCHSX91339Callistephus chinensisCCCHSMRZ67988SolanaceaeSTU47738U47738STU47739U47739U47739STU47740U47740Lycopersicon esculentumLETCHS1X55194LETCHS1X55195Nicotiana tabacumAF311783Petunia × hybridaPHCHSAX14591PHCHSBX14592PHCHSDX14593PHCHSJX14593PHCHSRX04080ConvolvulaceaeIpurBU15946IpurBU15947IpurCU15949IpurEAB001826IpurEAB001826IpurEAB001827InilAU15943InilBU15944InilDAB01817InilBU15943InilEAB001818InilEAB008748AB008748Perilla frutescensPFAB2815AB002815PFAB2815AB002815PFAB2582ScrophulariaceaeAMCHSX03710Digitalis lanataDLAJ2526AJ002526		GHCHS3	Z38098
Callistephus chinensisCCCCHSMRZ67988SolanaceaeSTU47738U47738Solanum tuberosumSTU47739U47739STU47740U47740Lycopersicon esculentumLETCHS1X55194LETCHS2Nicotiana tabacumAF311783Petunia × hybridaPHCHSAX14591PHCHSBYHCHSDX14591PHCHSDX14593PHCHSJX14597PHCHSRX04080ConvolvulaceaeIpurBIpomoea purpureaIpurAU15946IpurEAB001826IpurEAB001827InilAU15943InilBU15943InilBU15944InilDAB001826IpurEAB001818InilEAB001818InilEAB008748Perilla frutescensPFAB2815AB002815PFAB2582AB002815ScrophulariaceaeAMCHSAntirrhinum majusAMCHSX03710Digitalis lanataDLAJ2526AJ002526	Gerbera sp	GSPCHS	X91339
SolanaceaeSolanum tuberosum STU47738U47738STU47739U47739STU47740U47740Lycopersicon esculentum LETCHS1X55194LETCHS2X55195Nicotiana tabacum AF311783AF311783Petunia × hybrida	Callistephus chinensis	CCCHSMR	Z67988
Solanum tuberosumSTU47738U47738STU47739U47739U47739STU47740U47740Lycopersicon esculentumLETCHS1X55194LETCHS2X55195Nicotiana tabacumAF311783AF311783Petunia × hybridaPHCHSAX14591PHCHSBX14592PHCHSDX14593PHCHSJX14593PHCHSDX14597PHCHSRX04080ConvolvulaceaeIpurBU15946Ipomoea purpureaIpurCU15946IpurEAB001826IpurEIpurEAB001827InilBInilAU15943InilBInilDAB001816IpurEAB001818InilEAB001818InilEAB001818InilEAB008748Perilla frutescensPFAB2815AB002815PFAB2815AB002815PFAB2815AB002815ScrophulariaceaeMCHSX03710Digitalis lanataDLAJ2526AJ002526	Solanaceae		
$\begin{array}{c} \mathrm{STU47739} & \mathrm{U47739} \\ \mathrm{STU47740} & \mathrm{U47740} \\ \mathrm{Lycopersicon\ esculentum} & \mathrm{LETCHS1} & \mathrm{X55194} \\ \mathrm{LETCHS2} & \mathrm{X55195} \\ Nicotiana\ tabacum} & \mathrm{AF311783} & \mathrm{AF311783} \\ \mathrm{Petunia} \times hybrida & \mathrm{PHCHSA} & \mathrm{X14591} \\ \mathrm{PHCHSB} & \mathrm{X14592} \\ \mathrm{PHCHSD} & \mathrm{X14593} \\ \mathrm{PHCHSD} & \mathrm{X14593} \\ \mathrm{PHCHSJ} & \mathrm{X14597} \\ \mathrm{PHCHSR} & \mathrm{X04080} \\ \hline\\ \mathbf{Convolvulaceae} & & \\ Ipomoea\ purpurea & \mathrm{IpurA} & \mathrm{U15946} \\ \mathrm{IpurB} & \mathrm{U15947} \\ \mathrm{IpurD} & \mathrm{AB001826} \\ \mathrm{IpurD} & \mathrm{AB001826} \\ \mathrm{IpurE} & \mathrm{AB001827} \\ \mathrm{InilB} & \mathrm{U15943} \\ \mathrm{InilB} & \mathrm{U15944} \\ \mathrm{InilD} & \mathrm{AB001818} \\ \mathrm{InilE} & \mathrm{AB001818} \\ \mathrm{InilE} & \mathrm{AB001818} \\ \mathrm{InilE} & \mathrm{AB001818} \\ \mathrm{InilE} & \mathrm{AB001819} \\ \hline\\ \mathbf{Lamiaceae} & \\ \\ Scutellaria\ baicalensis & \mathrm{AF035622} & \mathrm{AF035622} \\ \mathrm{AB008748} & \mathrm{AB002815} \\ \mathrm{PFAB2815} & \mathrm{AB002815} \\ \mathrm{PFAB2582} & \mathrm{AB002582} \\ \hline\\ \mathbf{Scrophulariaceae} & \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ $	Solanum tuberosum	STU47738	U47738
$\begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$		STU47739	U47739
Lycopersicon esculentum.LETCHS1X55194Nicotiana tabacum.AF311783AF311783Petunia \times hybrida.PHCHSAX14591PHCHSBX14592PHCHSDX14593PHCHSJX14597PHCHSJX14597PHCHSRX0080ConvolvulaceaeIpomoea purpureaIpurAU15946IpurDAB001826IpurDAB001826IpurEAB001827InilBU15943InilBU15944InilBU15944InilEAB001818InilEAB001818Scutellaria baicalensisAF035622AF035622AF035622AB008748AB002815PFAB2815AB002815PFAB2815AB002815ScrophulariaceaeScorphulariaceaeAntirrhinum majusAMCHSX03710Digitalis lanataDLAJ2526AJ002526		STU47740	U47740
LETCHS2 X55195 Nicotiana tabacumAF311783 AF311783 Petunia × hybridaPHCHSA X14591 PHCHSA X14591 PHCHSB X14592 PHCHSD X14593 PHCHSJ X14597 PHCHSJ X14597 PHCHSR X04080 Convolvulaceae <i>Ipomoea purpurea</i> IpurA U15946 <i>IpurB</i> U15947 <i>IpurC</i> U15949 <i>IpurD</i> AB001826 <i>IpurE</i> AB001827 <i>IpurE</i> AB001827 <i>IpurE</i> AB001827 <i>IpurE</i> AB001826 <i>IpurE</i> AB001827 <i>InilA</i> U15943 <i>InilB</i> U15943 <i>InilB</i> U15944 <i>InilD</i> AB001818 <i>InilE</i> AB001819 Lamiaceae <i>Scutellaria baicalensis</i> AF035622 AF035622 AB008748 AB008748 <i>Perilla frutescens</i> PFAB2815 AB002815 PFAB2582 AB002582 Scrophulariaceae <i>Antirrhinum majus</i> AMCHS X03710 <i>Digitalis lanata</i> DLAJ2526 AJ002526	Lycopersicon esculentum	LETCHS1	X55194
Nicotiana tabacum.AF311783AF311783Petunia × hybrida.PHCHSAX14591PHCHSBX14592PHCHSDX14593PHCHSDX14597PHCHSRX04080ConvolvulaceaeIpurAIpomoea purpureaIpurAIpurBU15947IpurCU15949IpurDAB001826IpurEAB001827InilAU15943InilBU15943InilBU15944InilDAB001818InilEAB001818InilEAB001819LamiaceaePFAB2815Scutellaria baicalensisAF035622AF03562AF035622AB002815PFAB2815AB002815PFAB2815AB002815ScrophulariaceaeScorphulariaceaeAntirrhinum majusAMCHSX03710Digitalis lanataDLAJ2526AJ002526	_,,	LETCHS2	X55195
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Nicotiana tabacum	AF311783	AF311783
PHCHSB X14592 PHCHSD X14593 PHCHSJ X14597 PHCHSJ X14597 PHCHSR X04080 Convolvulaceae <i>Ipomoea purpurea</i> IpurA U15946 IpurB U15947 IpurC U15949 IpurD AB001826 IpurE AB001827 <i>InilA</i> U15943 InilB U15944 InilB U15944 InilB U15944 InilD AB001818 InilE AB001819 Lamiaceae <i>Scutellaria baicalensis</i> AF035622 AF035622 AB008748 AB008748 <i>Perilla frutescens</i> PFAB2815 AB002815 PFAB2582 AB002582 Scrophulariaceae <i>Antirrhinum majus</i> AMCHS X03710 <i>Digitalis lanata</i> DLAJ2526 AJ002526	Petunia \times hybrida	PHCHSA	X14591
PHCHSD PHCHSJ PHCHSJ PHCHSRX14593 X14597 X14597 PHCHSRConvolvulaceaeIpurB IpurB IpurB IpurC IpurC U15949 IpurD IpurD AB001826 IpurE InilA InilB InilB InilD InilD AB001818 InilEIpomoea nilAB001827 IpurE AB01827Ipomoea nilInilA IpurE AB01827Ipomoea nilAB01827 IpurE AB001827Ipomoea nilAB01818 InilB InilB IpurE AB01818 InilELamiaceae Scutellaria baicalensisAF035622 AB008748 AB008748 AB002815 PFAB2815 AB002815 AB002825ScrophulariaceaeScrophulariaceaeAntirrhinum majusAMCHS DLAJ2526X03710 AJ002526	,	PHCHSB	X14592
PHCHSJ PHCHSRX14597 X04080ConvolvulaceaeIpurAU15946 IpurBIpomoea purpureaIpurAU15947 IpurCIpurBU15947 IpurCIpurBIpomoea nilInilAU15943 InilBInilBU15944 InilEAB001818 InilELamiaceaeScutellaria baicalensisAF035622 AB008748 PFAB2815ScrophulariaceaePFAB2815 PFAB2582AB002815 AB002582ScrophulariaceaeJantirrhinum majusAMCHS DLAJ2526X03710 AJ002526		PHCHSD	X14593
PHCHSRX04080ConvolvulaceaeIpurAU15946Ipomoea purpureaIpurBU15947IpurCU15949IpurCU15949IpurDAB001826IpurEAB001827InilAU15943InilBU15944InilDAB001818InilEAB001819LamiaceaeScutellaria baicalensisAF035622ScrophulariaceaePFAB2815AB002815ScrophulariaceaeScrophulariaceaeScorphulariaceaeAntirrhinum majusAMCHSX03710Digitalis lanataDLAJ2526AJ002526		PHCHSJ	X14597
Convolvulaceae <i>Ipomoea purpurea</i> IpurA U15946 IpurB U15947 IpurC U15949 IpurD AB001826 IpurE AB001827 <i>Ipomoea nil</i> InilA U15943 InilB U15944 InilD AB001818 InilE AB001819 Lamiaceae <i>Scutellaria baicalensis</i> AF035622 AF035622 AB008748 AB008748 <i>Perilla frutescens</i> PFAB2815 AB002815 PFAB2582 AB002582 Scrophulariaceae <i>Antirrhinum majus</i> AMCHS X03710 <i>Digitalis lanata</i> DLAJ2526 AJ002526		PHCHSR	X04080
Ipomoea purpureaIpurAU15946IpurBU15947IpurCU15949IpurCU15949IpurDAB001826IpurEAB001827InilAU15943InilBU15944InilDAB001818InilEAB001819LamiaceaeScutellaria baicalensisScrophulariaceaeAntirrhinum majusAMCHSX03710Digitalis lanataDLAJ2526AJ002526	Convolvulaceae		
Iponoca purpureaIpurIpurBU15947IpurCU15949IpurCU15949IpurDAB001826IpurEAB001827InilAU15943InilBU15944InilDAB001818InilEAB001819LamiaceaeScutellaria baicalensisScutellaria baicalensisAF035622AF035622AF035622AB008748AB002815PFAB2815AB002815PFAB2582AB002582ScrophulariaceaeAMCHSAntirrhinum majusAMCHSX03710DIgitalis lanataDLAJ2526AJ002526	Inomoea purpurea	InurA	U15946
IpurDIpurCU15949IpurDAB001826IpurDAB001826IpurEAB001827InilAU15943InilBU15944InilDAB001818InilEAB001819LamiaceaeScutellaria baicalensisScutellaria baicalensisAF035622AF035622AF035622AB008748AB008748Perilla frutescensPFAB2815AB002815PFAB2582AB002582ScrophulariaceaeAntirrhinum majusAMCHSX03710Digitalis lanataDLAJ2526AJ002526		InurB	U15947
IpurDAB001826IpurDAB001826IpurEAB001827InilAU15943InilBU15944InilDAB001818InilEAB001819LamiaceaeScutellaria baicalensisScutellaria baicalensisAF035622AF035622AF035622AB008748AB008748Perilla frutescensPFAB2815AB002815PFAB2582AB002582ScrophulariaceaeAntirrhinum majusAMCHSX03710Digitalis lanataDLAJ2526AJ002526		InurC	U15949
IpurEAB001827Ipomoea nilInilAU15943InilBU15944InilDAB001818InilEAB001819LamiaceaeScutellaria baicalensisScutellaria baicalensisAF035622AF035622AF035622AB008748AB008748Perilla frutescensPFAB2815AB002815PFAB2582AB00282ScrophulariaceaeAntirrhinum majusAMCHSX03710Digitalis lanataDLAJ2526AJ002526		InurD	AB001826
Ipomoea nilInilAU15943InilBU15943InilBU15944InilDAB001818InilEAB001819LamiaceaeScutellaria baicalensisAF035622AF035622Scutellaria baicalensisAF035622AB008748AB008748Perilla frutescensPFAB2815AB002815PFAB2582AB002582ScrophulariaceaeAntirrhinum majusAMCHSX03710Digitalis lanataDLAJ2526AJ002526		IpurE	AB001827
InilB U15944 InilD AB001818 InilE AB001819 Lamiaceae Scutellaria baicalensis AF035622 AF035622 AB008748 AB008748 Perilla frutescens PFAB2815 AB002815 PFAB2582 AB002582 Scrophulariaceae Antirrhinum majus AMCHS X03710 Digitalis lanata DLAJ2526 AJ002526	Ipomoea nil	InilA	U15943
InilDAB001818InilEAB001819LamiaceaeScutellaria baicalensisScutellaria baicalensisAF035622AF035622AF035622AB008748AB008748Perilla frutescensPFAB2815AB002815PFAB2582AB002582ScrophulariaceaeAntirrhinum majusAMCHSX03710DLAJ2526AJ002526		InilB	U15944
InilEAB001819LamiaceaeScutellaria baicalensisAF035622AF035622Ba008748AB008748AB008748Perilla frutescensPFAB2815AB002815PFAB2582AB002582ScrophulariaceaeAntirrhinum majusAMCHSX03710Digitalis lanataDLAJ2526AJ002526		InilD	AB001818
Lamiaceae Scutellaria baicalensis AF035622 AF035622 AB008748 AB008748 Perilla frutescens PFAB2815 AB002815 PFAB2582 AB002582 Scrophulariaceae Antirrhinum majus AMCHS X03710 Digitalis lanata DLAJ2526 AJ002526		InilE	AB001819
Scutellaria baicalensis.AF035622AF035622Babolicalensis.AF035622AF035622AB008748AB008748AB008748Perilla frutescens.PFAB2815AB002815PFAB2582AB002582AB002582ScrophulariaceaeAMCHSX03710Digitalis lanataDLAJ2526AJ002526	Lamiaceae		
Sculation backlessAr050522Ar050522AB008748AB008748Perilla frutescensPFAB2815AB002815PFAB2582AB002582ScrophulariaceaeAntirrhinum majusAMCHSDigitalis lanataDLAJ2526AJ002526	Scutellaria baicalensis	AE035622	AE035622
Perilla frutescensPFAB2815AB008748Perilla frutescensPFAB2815AB002815PFAB2582AB002582ScrophulariaceaeAMCHSX03710Digitalis lanataDLAJ2526AJ002526		AB008748	AR003022
Pertuta jratescens PFAB2515 AB002815 PFAB2582 AB002582 Scrophulariaceae AMCHS X03710 Digitalis lanata DLAJ2526 AJ002526	Parilla frutascans	PEA B2815	AB000740
Scrophulariaceae Antirrhinum majus AMCHS X03710 Digitalis lanata	1 eruu jruescens	DEA B 2582	AB002015
ScrophulariaceaeAMCHSX03710Digitalis lanataDLAJ2526AJ002526		11AD2302	AD002362
Antirrhinum majusAMCHSX03710Digitalis lanataDLAJ2526AJ002526	Scrophulariaceae		
Digitalis lanata DLAJ2526 AJ002526	Antirrhinum majus	AMCHS	X03710
	Digitalis lanata	DLAJ2526	AJ002526

^a Sequences determined in this study.

available for other genera of Asteraceae and from closely related families in Asteridae were retrieved from GenBank and used in the phylogenetic analysis. Some of the sequences were very short and thus not used. To reduce the computation for likelihood ratio tests (LRTs) of adaptive evolution in Asteraceae CHS genes, we did not use all available outgroup sequences; instead we selected one sequence from each of the closely related families of Asteridae CHS genes as outgroups.

Sequences were first aligned at the amino acid level using Clustal X (Thompson et al. 1997). Then nucleic acid sequences were aligned according to the protein alignments. The MEGA2 program (Kumar et al. 2001) was used to estimate the number of nucleotide substitutions per synonymous and nonsynonymous site between groups of sequences (Nei and Gojobori 1986). Neighbor-joining (NJ; Saitou and Nei 1987), maximum parsimony (MP), and ML (Felsenstein 1981) methods, implemented in PAUP* 4.0 (Swofford 1998), were used for phylogenetic analysis. NJ analyses were performed using the JC69 (Jukes and Cantor 1969), K80 (Kimura 1980), and F84 (Felsenstein 1981) distance measures to examine their effects on topological stability. Heuristic tree search under parsimony was conducted using the TBR (tree-bisection-reconnection) swapping algorithm. The HKY85 model of sequence evolution (Hasegawa, Kishino, and Yano 1985; Yang 1994) was employed for ML analysis using a NJ starting tree. To reduce the effects of compositional bias on phylogenetic reconstruction, the third codon positions were excluded, although including them produced very similar phylogenies. The robustness of the tree topology was assessed by bootstrap analysis, with 1,000 resampling replicates for the MP and NJ methods and 100 replicates for the ML method.

To test for possible rate changes following gene duplication, the method of Muse and Gaut (1994), implemented in Hyphy (Pond 2001), and the method of Li and Bousquet (1992), implemented in RRTree (Robinson-Rechavi and Huchon 2000), were used for relative rate tests, with PHCHSA (*Petunia* \times *hybrida* CHSA) used as the reference sequence. The method of Muse and Gaut is a LRT of rate constancy between two lineages with reference to a third outgroup lineage. The method of Li and Bousquet is a distance-based method that compares substitution rates between monophyletic groups of sequences. The test was applied to synonymous and nonsynonymous rates separately, with the method of Li (1993) being used for rate estimation.

Codon substitution models implemented in the codeml program in the PAML package (Yang 1997) were used to analyze changes in selective pressure during functional divergences of the Dendranthema CHS gene family. Two kinds of codon-substitution models are used. The "branch" models allowed the ω ratio to vary among lineages and were used to construct LRTs to examine whether the ω ratio along lineages after gene duplication was higher than along other lineages. Those models average synonymous and nonsynonymous rates over all sites in the sequence. The "branch-site" model accounts for variation in selective pressure among sites and is used to test for positive selection along the branches of interest which affects only a few amino acid sites (Yang and Nielsen 2002). The model assumes four classes of sites. The first two site classes have ω_0 and ω_1 along all lineages in the phylogeny. The third and fourth site classes have ω_0 and ω_1 along all branches except a few branches of interest, which have ω_2 . When the estimate of ω_2 is greater than 1, some sites are under positive selection along the branches of interest. This

model can be compared with a "site-specific" model (M3 discrete, K = 2; Yang et al. 2000), that allows for two site classes with ω_0 and ω_1 only, to construct a LRT.

Results

Duplications and Sequence Divergences of Dendranthema CHS Genes

Twenty-four clones were chosen at random for sequencing from each of the six species of Dendranthema. Eighteen different fragments of CHS genes were obtained. Their GenBank accession numbers are AF511459-AF511476. Aligning those sequences revealed a 3-base and two 1-base deletions in sequence DCCHS5 (GenBank accession number AF511475) and a 37-base deletion in sequence DVCHS49 (GenBank accession number AF511476). Those two sequences were confirmed by repeated PCR reaction, cloning, and sequencing. The frameshift mutations lead to premature stop codons, indicating that DCCHS5 and DVCHS49 are nonfunctional pseudogenes. These two sequences were not used in later analysis. Alignment of the functional sequences was straightforward, and no alignment gaps need be introduced. All the functional fragments include 855 nucleotides, coding for 285 amino acid residues. Sequence identity ranged from 72.8% to 98.7% at the nucleotide level (including all three codon positions) and from 72.2% to 99.6% at the amino acid level.

The phylogenetic relationships between Dendranthema CHS genes and the CHS-like sequences available for Asteridae were inferred by NJ, MP, and ML analyses. NJ trees were reconstructed with several distance measures (JC69, K80, and F84), and a parsimony tree search was conducted using the TBR perturbation algorithm. The HKY85 model of sequence evolution was used for inferring the ML tree. The tree topologies produced by different methods were similar on the overall structure. Furthermore, analyzing the first and second codon positions only and analyzing all three codon positions produced similar results. Figure 1 shows the phylogenetic tree reconstructed by ML using the first and second codon positions. It highlights three important features in all inferred trees. First, the CHS sequences of Dendranthema formed three distinct subfamilies, designated here as SF1, SF2, and SF3 (fig. 1). Indeed the different methods produced identical relationships between the subfamilies, although the within-subfamily relationships are not stable. Second, the CHS genes of Dendranthema did not form species-specific clusters but instead formed subfamilies with the CHS genes from other plants. For example, sequences DICHS39, DICHS24, and DICHS3 were from the same species D. indicum, but they were grouped into different subfamilies. Third, the three subfamilies of the Dendranthema CHS gene clustered with GHCHS1, GHCHS2, and GHCHS3 of Gerbera, respectively. Those three genes have been studied in detail both on gene structure and function. Helariutta et al. (1995) pointed out that GHCHS1, GHCHS2, and GHCHS3 are different members of the Gerbera CHS gene family, and GHCHS1 and GHCHS3 code for typical CHS enzyme, whereas the



FIG. 1.—ML tree for CHS genes from Dendranthema and CHS-like sequences for Asteridae. Sequences are identified both by the species name and the clone number. See table 1 for abbreviations of genus names. The HKY85 substitution model was applied to the first and second codon positions. The numbers at internal nodes are bootstrap proportions. Values under 50% are not shown. Branch lengths are proportional to the expected number of nucleotide substitutions per site. The codon-based analysis uses all sequences in the Asteraceae family and four sequences, indicated by asterisks, from the four outgroup families: Solanaceae, Convolvulaceae, Lamiaceae, and Scropphulariaceae.

GHCHS2 enzyme differs from CHSs in its substrate specificity and reaction. CHS genes in Dendranthema showed a similar divergence pattern and fell into three subfamilies as well. Sequences DNCHS16 and DICHS39 were homologous to GHCHS1, and sequences DICHS24 and DCCHS1 were homologous to GHCHS2, whereas the rest of the Dendranthema CHS sequences were more closely related to GHCHS3 (fig. 1). The phylogenetic analysis thus suggests that the CHS genes of Dendranthema and Gerbera originated from common ancestral genes, and the duplications giving rise to those ancestral genes occurred before the divergence of Dendranthema and Gerbera.

Synonymous and nonsynonymous distances between the Dendranthema CHS gene subfamilies were estimated using the method of Nei and Gojobori (1986) (table 2). The results show that at the synonymous sites, SF2 and SF3 are similar, consistent with the phylogenetic relationships (fig. 1). At the nonsynonymous sites SF1 and SF3 are similar, whereas SF2 is different, in-

Table 2

Estimated Numbers of Synonymous (Below Diagonal) and Nonsynonymous (Above Diagonal) Substitutions per Site Between Subfamilies of the Dendranthema CHS Genes

	SF1	SF2	SF3
SF1	0.639	0.142	0.046
SF3	0.681	0.602	0.156

dicating that many nonsynonymous substitutions might have occurred along the SF2 lineage.

Molecular Clocks and Rate of Evolution

To examine the rate variation between members of the CHS gene family in Dendranthema, we performed the relative rate test of Muse and Gaut (1994). Codon models were used to test whether two sequences followed either a nonsynonymous or a synonymous molecular clock. The outgroup sequence in all comparisons was PHCHSA. No significant rate difference was detected within each of the three subfamilies for either the synonymous or nonsynonymous rates. Similarly no difference was detected at the synonymous sites in between-subfamily comparisons. But nonsynonymous rates were significantly different between SF2 and SF1 or SF3; the smallest log-likelihood difference in all the comparisons was 20, with P < 0.001, df = 1. No significant nonsynonymous rate difference was found between SF1 and SF3. Those results were substantiated by the relative rate test of Li and Bousquet (1992), which compares evolutionary rates between groups of sequences, taking into account the covariances between sequences. The results suggest that SF2 evolved significantly faster than SF1 (Z = 5.30, P < 0.0001) and SF3 (Z = 5.23, P < 0.0001) at nonsynonymous sites. In contrast, synonymous rates were homogeneous between the three subfamilies ($P \ge 0.78$).

8							
Model	р	l	к	ω_0	ω_1	ω_2	ω3
$A \omega_0 = \omega_1 = \omega_2 = \omega_3 \dots$	49	-6881.85	1.701	0.053	ω ₀	ω ₀	ω ₀
$B \omega_0 = \omega_2 = \omega_3, \omega_1 \ldots$	50	-6880.80	1.700	0.052	0.207	ω_0	ω_0
$C \omega_0 = \omega_1 = \omega_3, \omega_2 \ldots$	50	-6863.81	1.700	0.047	ω_0	0.528	ω_0
$D \omega_0 = \omega_1 = \omega_2, \omega_3 \dots$	50	-6881.63	1.700	0.054	ω_0	ω_0	0.033
$E \omega_0, \omega_1 = \omega_2 = \omega_3 \ldots$	50	-6868.71	1.708	0.046	0.207	ω_1	ω_1
$F \omega_0, \omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3 \ldots \ldots$	52	-6862.44	1.700	0.046	0.152	0.499	0.023
$G \omega_0 = \omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3 \dots$	51	-6863.69	1.700	0.047	ω_0	0.543	0.033
$H \omega_0 = \omega_2, \omega_1, \omega_3 \dots \dots$	51	-6879.33	1.697	0.053	0.199	ω_0	0.017
I $\omega_0 = \omega_3, \omega_1, \omega_2, \ldots$	51	-6862.87	1.700	0.046	0.117	0.497	ω_0

 Table 3

 Log-likelihood Values and Parameter Estimates Under Different Models

NOTE .- p, number of parameters.

As the molecular clock assumption appears to hold at synonymous sites, we use synonymous rates to calculate rough estimates of the gene duplication times. No good fossil data are available to calibrate the clock. Instead we use the average synonymous substitution rate for plant nuclear genes at about 5×10^{-9} substitutions per site per year (Li 1997, p. 193). The average synonymous distance from SF1 to SF2 and SF3 was 0.66 substitutions per site. The average synonymous distance between SF2 and SF3 was 0.602 substitutions per site. Thus the times of duplications were estimated to be about 66 (node A in fig. 1) and 60 (node B) million years ago. The divergence time of Asteraceae was estimated to be at 30 to 60 million years ago (Cronquist 1977).

LRTs of Adaptive Evolution after Gene Duplication

To understand the mechanisms of evolutionary rate variation among lineages, we apply two kinds of likelihood rate tests based on models of codon substitution. The first analysis examines the variation of selective pressures among lineages, with the selective pressure indicated by the nonsynonymous-synonymous rate ratio $(\omega = d_N/d_S)$ (Yang 1997, 1998; Yang and Nielsen 1998). The phylogeny of Asteraceae CHS genes was assumed as shown in figure 1 but only four sequences from the four outgroup families (PHCHSA, IpurD, PFAB2815, and AMCHS) were used. Three different models were used. The "one-ratio" model assumes the same ω ratio for all lineages (fig. 1; table 3). The log-likelihood value under this model was $\ell_0 = -6881.85$, with the estimate $\omega = 0.053$. The low ω ratio highlights the overwhelming role of purifying selection in this gene family. The

Table 4 Likelihood Ratio Statistics $(2\delta \ell)$ for Testing Hypotheses

Null Hypothesis Tested	Assumption Made	Models Compared	2δℓ
$ \begin{array}{l} A' \; (\omega_1 = \omega_2 = \omega_3) = \omega_0 \ . \\ B' \; \omega_1 = \omega_0 \ . \\ C' \; \omega_1 = \omega_0 \ . \\ D' \; \omega_2 = \omega_0 \ . \\ E' \; \omega_2 = \omega_0 \ . \\ F' \; \omega_3 = \omega_0 \ . \\ G' \; \omega_3 = \omega_0 \ . \\ \end{array} $	$ \begin{split} \omega_1 &= \omega_2 &= \omega_3 \\ \omega_2 &= \omega_3 &= \omega_0 \\ \omega_2, \omega_3 & & \\ \omega_1 &= \omega_3 &= \omega_0 \\ \omega_1, \omega_3 & & \\ \omega_1 &= \omega_2 &= \omega_0 \\ \omega_1, \omega_2 \end{split} $	A and E A and B G and F A and C H and F A and D I and F	26.28* 2.10 2.50 36.08* 33.78* 0.42 0.86

* Significant (P < 1%).

"free-ratios" model assumes an independent ω ratio for each branch in the tree. The likelihood value under this model was $\ell_1 = -6748.87$. Comparison of twice the log-likelihood difference, $2\delta\ell = 2(\ell_1 - \ell_0) = 2 \times$ (-6748.87 - (-6881.85)) = 265.96, with the χ^2 distribution (df = 46) suggested rejection of the one-ratio model, with P < 0.001. The difference between the two models was significant, indicating that the ω ratios were extremely variable among lineages.

To detect changes in selective pressure after gene duplication, the "two-ratios" model was used, which assumes that the branches of interest have different ω ratios from the background ratio ω_0 (Yang 1998). Here we assigned ω_1 , ω_2 , and ω_3 to the lineages ancestral to SF1, SF2, and SF3, respectively, and fitted several models with different assumptions concerning those ω ratios (table 3). Estimates of the ω ratios for all the ancestral lineages were less than 1. But the ω ratios differed among the ancestral lineages, with ω_2 for the lineage ancestral to SF2 being much higher than for other lineages. The log-likelihood values under different models were compared to test various hypotheses (table 4). The results suggest that ω_1 and ω_3 were not significantly different from the background ratio ω_0 , but ω_2 was significantly greater than ω_0 . The LRT results, combined with the results of the relative rate tests, suggest an increase in the nonsynonymous substitution rate in the lineage ancestral to SF2 after the gene duplication.

The above analysis averages the ω ratio over all codons in the gene. However, changes to a few key residues at the active sites of a protein might be sufficient to cause functional divergence, but these changes would represent too small a fraction of the overall changes to elevate $d_{\rm N}$ substantially. Thus we performed a second analysis on the same data to test for positive selection affecting individual sites along the lineage ancestral to the SF2 genes (fig. 1). The branch-site model of Yang and Nielsen (2002), is used, as implemented in the codeml program of the PAML package (Yang 1997). Parameter estimates under this model suggested that 21.8% of codons in the gene were under positive selection with $\omega_2 = 2.7$ along that lineage. Because those estimates are based on information about changes along one single branch (the branch ancestral to SF2), the exact values may not be very reliable. However, we have to trust the estimates only to the extent that the proportion is >0 and the ratio $\omega_2 > 1$. The LRT comparing the branchsite model and the site-specific model M3 (K = 2) gave $2\delta\ell = 30.54$, much greater than the critical value $\chi^{2}_{1\%}$ = 9.21 with df = 2., indicating that the branch-site model fits the data significantly better. The LRT thus provides significant evidence for positive selective pressure driving functional divergence of SF2 genes.

Discussion

More than 100 CHS genes have been cloned from various plants (Yamazaki et al. 2001). However, what remains unclear is how many members the CHS gene family is composed of in each species and how to distinguish different members. In this article, we randomly screened twenty-four clones for each of the six Dendranthema species and obtained sixteen functional sequences. Phylogenetic analysis showed that the CHS genes of Dendranthema formed three distinct subfamilies, SF1, SF2, and SF3, just as in the Gerbera genus. Because not all copies of the CHS gene were obtained from each of the six species, we cannot claim that SF1 and SF2 are not present in all those species. The phylogenetic analysis indicates that the gene duplications giving rise to the subfamilies predate the divergence between Dendranthema and Gerbera. According to Helariutta et al. (1995), sequences GHCHS1 and GHCHS3 code for typical CHS enzymes. GHCHS3 expression correlates with early synthesis of flavonols and GHCHS1 with later synthesis of both flavonols and anthocyanins. However, GHCHS2 is different. The expression pattern does not correlate with the pigmentation pattern; the amino acid sequence deviates considerably from the consensus sequence of typical CHS, and the catalytic properties are different. On the basis of the phylogenetic relationships among the CHS genes of Dendranthema and Gerbera (fig. 1), it seems reasonable to expect that the Dendranthema CHS genes have diverged in a similar fashion to the Gerbera CHS genes.

Gene duplication is often followed by an elevated evolutionary rate (Li 1985; Zhang, Rosenberg, and Nei 1998; Duda and Palumbi 1999; Schmidt, Goodman, and Grossman 1999; Bielawski and Yang 2001), which can be due to either positive Darwinian selection for functional divergence (Ohta 1993) or relaxation of selective constraints (Kimura 1983). In the former case, the requirement of the new function exerts directional selective pressure, promoting fixation of advantageous non-synonymous mutations. In the latter case, neutral mutations are fixed at random, which, perhaps due to environmental changes, lead to a novel function in one or both copies. The two hypotheses are often difficult to distinguish; for example, an elevated ω ratio that is not >1 is compatible with both hypotheses.

In the present analysis of the Dendranthema and Gerbera CHS genes, the relative rate test suggested homogeneous synonymous rates, whereas nonsynonymous rates are different when sequences from SF2 are compared with those from SF1 or SF3. Likelihood analysis using the branch models showed that the ω ratios were highly variable among lineages of the tree, and the ω

ratio for the branch ancestral to SF2 is much higher than for other lineages (table 2). Although the estimated ω ratio is not greater than 1, this ratio is an average across all codons in the gene. A second analysis using the branch-site model accounts for heterogeneous selective pressure among sites and provided significant evidence for positive selections (with $\omega > 1$) acting at some sites along the branch ancestral to SF2. Furthermore, studies of Gerbera CHS genes indicate different functions of GHCHS2 from GHCHS1 and GHCHS3 (Helariutta et al. 1995), and the phylogeny of figure 1 suggests similar functional divergence of SF2 from SF1 and SF3 in Dendranthema. Combining those results, we conclude that positive selection is a more likely explanation for the evolution of the Dendranthema CHS gene family than relaxed selective constraints.

The species sampled in this article have different flower colors. Yet, no correlation can be easily found between the flower color and the CHS genes of Dendranthema. The yellow-flowered species, D. indicum, has CHS genes from all three subfamilies, whereas other yellow-flowered species, D. indicum var. aromaticum, D. nankingense, and D. lavandulifoium, have CHS genes from only some of the subfamilies (fig. 1). The white-flowered D. vestitum has CHS genes from SF3 only, and the pink flowered species D. chanetii has CHS genes from both SF2 and SF3. We note that some members of the CHS gene family may not have been sequenced in some of the species. Also, flower color might not be determined by the number of CHS family members. Furthermore, other loci may affect flower color as well. Clegg, Cummings, and Durbin (1997) examined flower color variation in Ipomoea purpurea and suggested that as many as five loci control floral phenotypes in I. purpurea. Of those, only the A/a locus, which encodes the CHS gene, is well characterized at the molecular level, whereas the other four are not. In Dendranthema very few studies have been conducted on the molecular biology of the genes of flavonoid biosynthesis that determine flower color, and we do not yet know how many genes are responsible for flower color polymorphisms of Dendranthema plants. More work is needed for a complete causal analysis that connects floral phenotypes to genes.

Acknowledgments

We thank two anonymous referees for comments. This study was supported by the State Key Basic Research and Development Plan of China grants (G2000046804) to J.Y., National Natural Science Foundation of China grants (39830131 and 39970051) to H.G. and J.Y., and BBSRC grants to Z.Y. Part of this work was completed during J.Y.'s visit to UCL, supported by a short-visit fellowship from the Royal Society.

LITERATURE CITED

BIELAWSKI, J. P., and Z. YANG. 2001. Positive and negative selection in the DAZ gene family. Mol. Biol. Evol. **18**:523–529.

- CLEGG, M. T., M. P. CUMMINGS, and M. L. DURBIN. 1997. The evolution of plant nuclear genes. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 94:7791–7798.
- COURTNEY-GUTTERSON, N., C. NAPOLI, C. LEMIEUX, A. MOR-GAN, E. FIROOZABADY, and K. E. ROBISON. 1994. Modification of flower color in florist's chrysanthemum: production of a white-flowering variety through molecular genetics. Biotechnology 12:268–271.
- CRONQUIST, A. 1977. The Compositae revisited. Brittonia **29**: 137–153.
- DUDA, T. F. JR., and S. R. PALUMBI. 1999. Molecular genetics of ecological diversification: duplication and rapid evolution of toxin genes of the venomous gastropod *Conus*. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. **96**:6820–6823.
- DURBIN, M. L., G. H. LEARN, G. A. HUTTLEY, and M. T. CLEGG. 1995. Evolution of the chalcone synthase gene family in the genus *Ipomoea*. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 92: 3338–3342.
- DURBIN, M. L., B. MCCAIG, and M. T. CLEGG. 2000. Molecular evolution of the chalcone synthase multigene family in the morning glory genome. Plant Mol. Biol. 42:79–92.
- FELSENSTEIN, J. 1981. Evolutionary trees from DNA sequences: a maximum likelihood approach. J. Mol. Evol. 17:368– 376.
- FORCE, A., M. LYNCH, F. B. PICKETT, A. AMORES, Y. L. YAN, and J. POSTLETHWAIT. 1999. Preservation of duplicate genes by complementary, degenerative mutations. Genetics 151: 1531–1545.
- HASEGAWA, M., H. KISHINO, and T. YANO. 1985. Dating the human-ape split by a molecular clock of mitochondrial DNA. J. Mol. Evol. **22**:160–174.
- HELARIUTTA, Y., P. ELOMMA, M. KOTILAINEN, R. J. GRIES-BACH, J. SCHRODER, and T. H. TEERI. 1995. Chalcone synthase-like genes active during corolla development are differentially expressed and encode enzymes with different catalytic properties in *Gerbera hybrida* (Asteraceae). Plant Mol. Biol. 28:47–60.
- HELARIUTTA, Y., M. KOTILAINEN, P. ELOMAA, N. KALKKINEN, K. BREMER, T. H. TEERI, and V. A. ALBERT. 1996. Duplication and functional divergence in the chalcone synthase gene family of Asteraceae: evolution with substrate change and catalytic simplification. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 93: 9033–9038.
- ITO, M., Y. ICHINOSE, H. KATO, T. SHIRAISHI, and T. YAMADA. 1997. Molecular evolution and functional relevance of the chalcone synthase genes of pea. Mol. Gen. Genet. 255:28– 37.
- JUKES, T. H., and C. R. CANTOR. 1969. Evolution of protein molecules. Pp. 21–123 in H. N. MUNRO, ed. Mammalian protein metabolism. Academic Press, New York.
- KIMURA, M. 1980. A simple method for estimating evolutionary rates of base substitutions through comparative studies of nucleotide sequences. J. Mol. Evol. 16:111–120.
- ——. 1983. The neutral theory of molecular evolution. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, U.K.
- KOES, R. E., F. QUATTROCCHIO, and J. N. M. MOL. 1994. The flavonoid biosynthetic pathway in plants: function and evolution. BioEssays 16:123–132.
- KOES, R. E., C. E. SPELT, P. J. VAN DEN ELZEN, and J. N. M. MOL. 1989. Cloning and molecular characterization of the chalcone synthase multigene family of *Petunia hybrida*. Gene 81:245–257.
- KUMAR, S., K. TAMURA, I. B. JAKOBSEN, and M. NEI. 2001. MEGA2: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis software. Arizona State University, Tempe, Ariz.
- LI, W.-H. 1985. Accelerated evolution following gene duplication and its implications for the neutralist-selectionist

controversy. Pp. 333–352 *in* T. OTHA and K. AOKI, eds. Population genetics and molecular evolution. Japan Scientific Press, Tokyo.

- ——. 1993. Unbiased estimation of the rates of synonymous and nonsynonymous substitution. J. Mol. Evol. 36:96–99.
- ———. 1997. Molecular evolution. Sinauer Associates, Inc., Sunderland, Mass.
- LI, P., and J. BOUSQUET. 1992. Relative-rate test for nucleotide substitutions between two lineages. Mol. Biol. Evol. 9: 1185–1189.
- MUSE, S. V., and B. S. GAUT. 1994. A likelihood approach for comparing synonymous and nonsynonymous nucleotide substitution rates with application to the chloroplast genome. Mol. Bio. Evol. 11:715–724.
- NEI, M., and T. GOJOBORI. 1986. Simple methods for estimating the numbers of synonymous and nonsynonymous nucleotide substitutions. Mol. Bio. Evol. **3**:418–426.
- NC-IUB (NOMENCLATURE COMMITTEE OF THE INTERNATIONAL UNION OF BIOCHEMISTRY). 1985. Nomenclature for incompletely specified bases in nucleic acid sequences. Recommendations. Eur. J. Biochem. 150:1.
- OHNO, S. 1970. Evolution by gene duplication. Springer-Verlag, New York.
- OHTA, T. 1993. Pattern of nucleotide substitution in growth hormone-prolactin gene family: a paradigm for evolution by gene duplication. Genetics **134**:1271–1276.
- POND, S. K. 2001. Hypothesis testing using phylogenies (HY-PHY). Version 0.91 beta. University of Arizona, Tucson.
- ROBINSON-RECHAVI, M., and D. HUCHON. 2000. RRTree: relative-rate tests between groups of sequences on a phylogenetic tree. Bioinformatics **16**:296–297.
- RYDER, T. B., S. A. HEDRICK, J. N. BELL, X. LIANG, S. D. CLOUSE, and C. J. LAMB. 1987. Organization and differential activation of a gene family encoding the plant defense enzyme chalcone synthase in *Phaseolus vulgaris*. Mol. Gen. Genet. 210:219–233.
- SAITOU, N., and M. NEI. 1987. The neighbor-joining method: a new method for reconstructing phylogenetic trees. Mol. Biol. Evol. 4:406–425.
- SCHMIDT, T. R., M. GOODMAN, and L. I. GROSSMAN. 1999. Molecular evolution of the COX7A gene family in primates. Mol. Biol. Evol. 16:619–626.
- SWOFFORD, D. L. 1998. PAUP*: phylogenetic analysis using parsimony (* and other methods). Version 4.0. Sinauer Associates, Sunderland, Mass.
- THOMPSON, J. D., T. J. GIBSON, F. PLEWNIAK, F. JEANMOUGIN, and D. G. HIGGINS. 1997. The CLUSTAL-X windows interface: flexible strategies for multiple sequence alignment aided by quality analysis tools. Nucleic Acids Res. 25: 4876–4882.
- TROPF, S., B. KARCHER, G. SCHRODER, and J. SCHRODER. 1995. Reaction mechanisms of homodimeric plant polyketide synthases (stilbene and chalcone synthase). A single active site for the condensing reaction is sufficient for synthesis of stilbenes, chalcones, and 6'-deoxychalcones. J. Biol. Chem. 270:7922–7928.
- TROPF, S., T. LANZ, S. A. RENSING, J. SCHRODER, and G. SCHRODER. 1994. Evidence that stibene synthases have developed from chalcone synthases several times in the course of evolution. J. Mol. Evol. 38:610–618.
- WANG, J., L. QU, J. CHEN, H. GU, and Z. CHEN. 2000. Molecular evolution of the exon 2 of CHS genes and the possibility of its application to plant phylogenetic analysis. Chinese Sci. Bull. 45:1735–1742.
- WINGENDER, R., H. ROHRIG, C. HORICKE, D. WING, and J. SCHELL. 1989. Differential regulation of soybean chalcone

synthase genes in plant defence, symbiosis and upon environmental stimuli. Mol. Gen. Genet. **218**:315–322.

- YAMAZAKI, Y., D. SUH, W. SITTHITHAWORN, K. ISHIGURO, Y. KOBAYASHI, M. SHIBUYA, Y. EBIZUKA, and U. SANKAWA. 2001. Diverse chalcone synthase superfamily enzymes from the most primitive vascular plant, *Psilotum nudum*. Planta **214**:75–84.
- YANG, Z. 1994. Maximum likelihood phylogenetic estimation from DNA sequences with variable rates over sites: approximate methods. J. Mol. Evol. **39**:306–314.
- . 1997. PAML: a program package for phylogenetic analysis by maximum likelihood. Comput. Appl. Biosci.
 13:555–556 [http://abacus.gene.ucl.ac.uk/software/paml. html].
- . 1998. Likelihood ratio tests for detecting positive selection and application to primate lysozyme evolution. Mol. Biol. Evol. 15:568–573.

- YANG, Z., and R. NIELSEN. 1998. Synonymous and nonsynonymous rate variation in nuclear genes of mammals. J. Mol. Evol. 46:409–418.
- ——. 2002. Codon-substitution models for detecting molecular adaptation at individual sites along specific lineages. Mol. Biol. Evol. **19**:908–917.
- YANG, Z., R. NIELSEN, N. GOLDMAN, and A.-M. K. PEDERSEN. 2000. Codon-substitution models for heterogeneous selection pressure at amino acid sites. Genetics 155:431–449.
- ZHANG, J., H. F. ROSENBERG, and M. NEI. 1998. Positive Darwinian selection after gene duplication in primate ribonuclease genes. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 95:3708–3713.

RICHARD THOMAS, reviewing editor

Accepted June 1, 2002